

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/815,047	03/31/2004	Gerald Liam Swift	01AN170-A / ALBRP241USA	9749
7590 02/16/2005			EXAMINER	
Susan M. Donahue			CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
Rockwell Autor	nation, 704-P			
IP Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 South 2nd Street			3754	
Milwaukee, WI 53204			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,047	SWIFT, GERALD LIAM $$				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	ecember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the med						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Experimental Control of the Control o		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,047

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: rotary movement or linear movement. It is not clear from the claim which "means for providing" are being claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,462,467 to Weingartner.

Weingartner shows a percussion drill machine as seen in Figs. 2 an 4, a movable member 4 that moves in a rotary motion about a central axis and in linear motion along the axis, a rod drive member 1 that extends the length of the movable member to engage a tool, see column 2, lines 47-50, a coupling 3 that is affix to one end of the drive member, drive systems 6, 11 and 13, a control system 18 and a housing 2. With respect to claims 12-19, the device of Weingartner performs the method of coupling a movable member to a tool, rotating the movable member, driving a tool, driving the tool by magnetic drives 70, urging the movable member in contact

Application/Control Number: 10/815,047 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

with the tool and affixing the tool to one end of a drive rod substantially simultaneously as claimed in the method claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8, 9 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,462,467 to Weingartner in view of US 6,216,798 to Riello et al.

Weingartner shows all claimed features as discussed above except for the position of the moveable member controlled by magnetic positioning means. Riello shows an automatic work unit for machine tool having linear electromagnetic motor to control the liner and rotary motions and the position of the movable member 3. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Weingartner to include magnetic position control as taught by Riello to achieve working velocities and accelerations, for the work unit, greater than those normally found in mechanical units.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 22 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The positioning of the movable member 4 of Weingartner helps drive the associated rod member 1 forward by reciprocating along the axis of the rod member independently from the rotational motion of the associated rod. The radial and linear motions of the associated rod are independently control and transferred to the working tool to obtain an

Application/Control Number: 10/815,047

Art Unit: 3754

optimal working combination of radial and liner motion for each different diameter tool. In addition, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., positioning the spindle for accurate operation of the tool) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM to 4:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,047 Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAC 02/09/05

MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700